# **Cabinet**



Title of Report:	Statement of Licensing Policy and Cumulative Impact Policy Renewal				
Report No:	CAB/SE/17/062				
Report to and dates:	Cabinet		5 December 2017		
uates.	Counc	il	19 December 2017		
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Alaric Pugh Portfolio Holder for Planning and Growth Tel: 07930 460899 Email: alaric.pugh@stedsbc.gov.uk				
Lead Officer:	Amanda Garnham Licensing Team Leader Tel: 01284 758050 Email: Amanda.garnham@westsuffolk.gov.uk				
Purpose of report:	To review and seek adoption of the updated mandatory Statement of Licensing Policy and the incorporated Cumulative Impact Policy for Bury St Edmunds Town Centre.				
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that, subject to the approval of Council:				
	(2)	St Edmunds Tow the Statement of 3 to Report No: I to cover the area Map 2, attached Report No: CAB/ subject to the ag revised Stateme incorporating the Impact Policy, a	mulative Impact Area of Bury on Centre contained within I Licensing Policy at Appendix LIC/SE/17/011, be amended a shown on either Map 1 or as Appendices A and B to SE/17/062; and Greement of (1) above, the nt of Licensing Policy e amended Cumulative ttached as Appendix 3 to SE/17/011, be adopted.		

<b>Key Decision:</b>	Is this a	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?				
(2)	Yes, it is	Yes, it is a Key Decision - □				
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those	No, it is r	No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠				
that <b>do not</b> apply.)		Car Barrat Nav LIC/CE/17/011				
Consultation:	• See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011					
Alternative option(s):		See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011				
Implications:						
Are there any <b>financial</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011			
Are there any <b>staffing</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011			
Are there any <b>ICT</b> implications? If			Yes □ No □			
yes, please give details			See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011			
Are there any <b>legal and/or policy</b>			Yes □ No □			
implications? If yes, please give details			See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011			
Are there any <b>equality</b> implications?			Yes □ No □			
If yes, please give details			See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011			
Risk/opportunity assessment:			(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)			
	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after		
	risk (before controls)			controls)		
See Report No: LIC/SE/17/011						
Wards affected:			Risbygate, Abbeygate Wards			
Background papers:		St Edmundsbury current Statement of Licensing Policy: http://www.westsuffolk.gov.uk/Busines s/Regulation and Licensing/Licensing/ Alcohol and entertainment/upload/Stat ement-of-Policy-2012-to-2017-final.pdf  Home Office Amended Guidance issued under section 182 of the licensing Act 2003 (March 2015)  https://www.gov.uk/government/uploa ds/system/uploads/attachment_data/fil e/418114/182-Guidance2015.pdf  LRC12 10 15 RepD160 dated October 2012 Proposed Amendments to				
			Licensing Statement of Policy			

## **Documents attached:**

**Appendix A:** Revised Cumulative Impact Area, *as proposed to be amended by the Licensing and Regulatory Committee* (Map 1)

Appendix B: Revised Cumulative Impact Area, as proposed by Officers following consideration by the Licensing and Regulatory Committee (Map 2)

For information:

**Appendix C:** Previous Cumulative

Impact Area (Map 3)

**Appendix D:** Revised Cumulative Impact Area, as originally proposed to

the Licensing and Regulatory

Committee (Map 4)

### 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

#### 1.1 **Key Issues**

- 1.2 Section 5 of the 2003 Act requires a licensing authority to prepare and publish a statement of its licensing policy at least every five years. Such a policy must be published before the authority carries out any function in respect of individual applications and notices made under the terms of the 2003 Act.
- 1.3 St Edmundsbury Borough Council adopted the current Statement of Licensing Policy in 2012 (Report <u>D160</u> refers). Under the scope of the Policy, the Council re-adopted a special area policy covering certain parts of the town centre of Bury St Edmunds where the cumulative impact of significant number of licensed premises concentrated in the area is considered to have a potential impact on the promotion of the licensing objectives.
- 1.4 On 31 October 2017, the Licensing and Regulatory Committee considered Report No: LIC/SE/17/011, which set out proposals to re-adopt both the Statement of Licensing Policy and Cumulative Impact Policy area for Bury St Edmunds town centre, based on a review of the current legislative framework, the effectiveness of the current policy on crime and disorder in the area and a consultation carried out in accordance with statutory guidelines that apply.
- 1.5 Attached to Report No: <u>LIC/SE/17/011</u>, were a number of appendices:

 $(\underline{\mathsf{Appendix}\ 1})$  - Crime statistics

(<u>Appendix 2</u>) - Summary of the Consultation Responses

(<u>Appendix 3</u>) - Statement of Licensing Policy including proposed Policy

wording and the proposed map extension of the

Cumulative Impact Policy

(Appendix 4) - Residents' consultation responses

(Appendix 5) - Police consultation

(Appendix 6) - British Beer and Pub Association consultation response.

#### 2. Licensing and Regulatory Committee

2.1 Councillor David Nettleton, one of the Ward Members for Risbygate addressed the Committee on the proposal to extend the Cumulative Impact Policy (CIP) into his Ward, which included St Johns Street North, Bury St Edmunds and hoped the CIP would not be extended into these areas. He felt the CIP did not deliver what its advocates said it would.

Although he opposed it right from the start, he explained that if Councillors Joanna Rayner and Andrew Speed, Ward Members for Abbeygate did not object to its extension to cover the area north, beyond Abbeygate Street to the ward boundaries with Risbygate and Eastgate, he was not objecting, as they were the Ward Members.

He added that Bury St Edmunds had a thriving town centre and felt the CIP would not be beneficial as a whole.

Councillor Patricia Warby, Ward Member for Eastgate who was present at the meeting as a member of the Committee, did not comment upon the impact of the proposals on the eastern side of St Johns Street, which was located in her ward.

- 2.2 Having listened to Councillor Nettleton's representation, the Licensing and Regulatory Committee subsequently reviewed the Statement of Licensing Policy and Cumulative Impact Policy Renewal.
- 2.3 The Committee was reassured that the proposal to extend the CIP had been requested by the Police, and would not prevent new businesses from applying for an alcohol licence or an extension to their existing licensing hours, but would mean when applying for a licence the applicant would have to provide additional evidence to support their application with the burden of proof that their activities, if approved, would not increase crime, disorder or anti-social behaviour. The proposals would not exclude restaurants and cafés, and was mainly aimed at premises wishing to sell alcohol after 11pm. The proposal to extend the area would encompass the two night clubs, and premises selling late-night refreshments and take-aways, which should have been included in the original CIP.
- 2.4 Several members of the Committee felt that the night time economy was paramount to the town and did not want to make it too onerous for new businesses to apply the additional burden of risk assessment and proposals for mitigation as part of their licence application, and therefore felt they could not support the proposed extension to the CIP area. Members also had some concerns about the need for housing and a thriving town centre.
- 2.5 The Committee felt that Councillor Nettleton, Ward Member for Risbygate had made some reasonably valid points and suggested that the CIP should include the town centre, but not St Andrew Street North and that the proposed map on page 39 of Appendix 3 (Map 4 attached as Appendix D to this report) should be amended to not include the north of Risbygate Street and Brentgovel Street, as shown on Map 1 attached as Appendix A to this report.

#### 3. Recommendations

- 3.1 As a result of the above discussions, **the Licensing and Regulatory Committee has RECOMMENDED** that Map 1, attached as Appendix A to this report be the revised cumulative impact area for Bury St Edmunds Town Centre.
- As a result of the deliberations of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee and its recommendation, **Officers RECOMMEND** that Map 2, attached as Appendix B to this report be the revised cumulative impact area for Bury St Edmunds Town Centre. This area includes the Abbeygate ward and removes most of the Risbygate ward apart from a small section of St Andrews Street North. The reason for this is to retain all current late night premises that have a detrimental cumulative impact on the town, such as noise, crime, anti-social behaviour and damage, within the cumulative impact area.

Continued over....

- 3.3 The Cabinet is asked to recommend to Council, approval of either Map 1 (Appendix A) or Map 2 (Appendix B) as the revised cumulative impact area for Bury St Edmunds Town Centre, for incorporation into the Council's Statement of Licensing Policy.
- 3.4 The full recommendations required to be considered are set out on page one of this report.